Naval Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory



NAMRL Special Report 00-01

A HEALTH-RISK APPRAISAL OF NAVAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES PERSONNEL: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

K. R. Johnson and M. Q. Wang

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

20000330 114

Reviewed and approved 1/4/00

C. G. ARMSTRONG, MSC, USN
Commanding Officer



This research was sponsored by the Naval Medical Research and Development Command under work unit 62233N MM33P30-01 7602.

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, nor the U.S. Government.

Volunteer subjects were recruited, evaluated, and employed in accordance with the procedures specified in the Department of Defense Directive 3216.2 and Secretary of the Navy Instruction 3900.39 series. These instructions are based upon voluntary informed consent and meet or exceed the provisions of prevailing national and international guidelines.

Trade names of materials and/or products of commercial or nongovernment organizations are cited as needed for precision. These citations do not constitute official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial materials and/or products.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

ABSTRACT

The relationship between exposure to extreme physical and mental activities and adverse environments to the susceptibility to injuries, disease, and death has not been examined for Special Operations Forces (SOF) personnel. The purpose of the Health Risk Appraisal (HRA) was to assess individual health risk levels related to the personal lifestyle factors. A 62-question survey was developed, implemented, and analyzed in order to assess general health behaviors and status, and to examine special warfare issues specific to the environment and tasks of the SOF personnel. Respondents were 971 (48 ± 16.1 years) male active-duty, reserve, and retired members of the Sea, Air, Land/ Underwater Demolition Teams (SEAL/UDT). Evaluation of these results used national health promotion and disease prevention objectives set forth by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Results from the self-reported study showed low smoking rates and high physical activity rates; low prevalences of coronary artery disease (CAD), diabetes, and obesity (overweight) were also found. These were considered positive health findings for this group. Unhealthy behaviors included high smokeless tobacco use rates, heavy drinking, low safety belt use, and drunk driving. There was also a high prevalence of cancer/tumors, musculoskeletal problems, sexually transmitted diseases, esophagitis, and precancerous skin lesions. The preliminary data in this study did not provide adequate information to discern to what extent mission tasks and environment play in heightening the member's risk of developing the aforementioned conditions. Further research examining the causes of these high health risks may be warranted.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge a number of individuals who contributed to this project. First, L.G. Meyer was the catalyst at the inception of this study. Numerous individuals at naval reserve centers across the country and at the Naval Special Warfare Command, San Diego, contributed many hours to the administration and collection of surveys. Lastly, a special thanks to all of the respondents, active duty, reserve, and retired, who took time out of their schedules to participate in this study.

PROBLEM

The Department of the Navy lacks baseline epidemiological and health data to adequately assess and track the health status of the Naval Special Operations Forces (SOF) personnel. These personnel participate in unique training programs and missions that place great stresses on their physical and psychological health. Currently, no data exist to support or refute the effects of these extreme activities and adverse environments on the susceptibility to injuries, disease, and death for SOF.

BACKGROUND

The purpose of the health Risk Appraisal (HRA) was to assess individual SOF health-risk levels related to lifestyle factors. Using the Healthier People: Health Risk Appraisal Program (Healthier People Software, Tucson, AZ), a health-risk survey was developed, implemented, and analyzed. This questionnaire consisted of two parts: a health-risk section and a special warfare section (Appendix). The general (health) section was a standardized survey consisting of 34 questions regarding demographics and health behaviors. No modifications were made to the standardized health-risk section to allow for comparisons to responses of other surveyed groups. Issues specific to the environment and tasks of the SOF personnel were covered in the special warfare section. There were 28 questions in this section. The questionnaire was developed with the assistance of the Naval Special Warfare Command. To simplify the reports, factors were grouped into four categories: demographic (age, race, education), health behaviors (tobacco use, alcohol consumption, safety belt use, driving habits, diet/nutrition), health status (body mass index, diseases, illnesses), and special warfare issues (injuries and illnesses due to SOF environment and activities).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analyses included frequency and percentages of all variables. In addition, cross-tabulation analysis between each health behavior/health status variable and age category was performed because many health status and health behavior factors may be age-related. The evaluation of these results used national health promotion and disease prevention objectives set forth by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (i.e., Healthy People 2000) (8). Health Risk Appraisal data collected from Alabama Power (AP) Company male employees (n = 891) in 1998 was used as well as the results from the Department of Defense survey of the Health-Related Behaviors Among Military Personnel, U.S. Navy responses (2), as comparison groups. Tables contain results data from these groups; dashed lines (--) in table cells represent no available data for that specific category and group.

DEMOGRAPHICS

Respondents were 971 male, active-duty, reserve, and retired members of the Sea, Air, and Land and Underwater Demolition Team (SEAL/UDT) teams (Table 1). The mean age was 48 years (standard deviation, \pm 16.1 years). The ages ranged from 21 to 90 years. Respondent's race and education are listed in Table 1.

HEALTH BEHAVIORS

The low smoking rates and high physical activity among the SOF personnel were positive health behavior findings (Table 2). The objective of Healthy People 2000 for smoking rates among military members is 20%. The percentage of persons who smoke in the SOF (4.7%) was much lower; it is noted that most current smokers of the SOF fall into the 46 to 60-year-old category, at 8.7%. Tobacco use is the most important single preventable cause of death in the United States, accounting for one of every five deaths or some 430,000 deaths annually (3, 4, 10). The low smoking rates found in this study decrease the risk of medical conditions associated with smoking (i.e., chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and cancers).

Table 1. Demographics in Overall Number and Percentage for Race and Education

Race and Education	Frequency	Percentage
African-American	10	1.1
Asian	3	0.3
Hispanic	28	3.0
Indian	13	1.4
Islander	4	0.4
White, non-Hispanic	856	93.1
Some high school/graduate	180	19.4
Some college	301	32.5
College graduate	253	27.3
Graduate school	193	20.8

Table 2. Health behavior percentages for SOF, Americans (US), Alabama Power male employees (AP), and U.S. Navy (USN) populations

	Percentage				
Behavior	SOF	US	AP	USN	
Current prostate exam	52.9*	38.0*			
Drinking	14.1	5.2	7.0	13.1	
Drunk driving/riding	12.0		4.3		
High-cholesterol diet	48.5	66.0	45.9		
High-fiber diet	92.3	52.0	88.0		
Motorcycle helmet use	84.1	64.0		76.1	
Physical activity	79.1	40.0	40.0	67.7	
Safety belt use	80.0		92.0	92.8	
Smokeless tobacco use	11.7	5.9	7.6	10.4	
Smoking	4.5	27.0	10.2	30.6	
Speeding	9.4		5.0		

^{*}Represents men over 50 years of age

Physical activity levels were high (80.0%), as expected from this population. Regular physical activity increases cardiorespiratory efficiency and lean mass, decreases fat mass, and provides stress-reduction properties, among its other properties (5). Although the SOF community excelled in the previous categories, they shoed more negative or unhealthy behaviors than good ones. One behavior of particular interest was a high rate of smokeless tobacco use (11.7%). The under-31 age group had an even higher user rate of 28%. When compared to U.S. Navy members between the ages of 18 and 24 years, this rate was still much higher (28% vs. 19%). Smokeless tobacco use is associated with health consequences that range from halitosis to more severe health problems, such as various forms of oral cancer (6, 11).

Heavy drinking is defined as more than two drinks daily. By this definition, 14% of SOF respondents were heavy drinkers. This percentage was comparable to, but still greater than, the Navy-wide percentage of 13.1%. Alcohol is a factor in more than 45% of all motor vehicle fatalities, approximately 33% of homicides and suicides, and 22% of fatal boating accidents (9) as well as deaths associated with liver disease.

HEALTH STATUS

Body mass index (BMI) calculations, using each individual's height and weight measures, were calculated to determine the number of overweight respondents (Equation 1):

Body Mass Index (BMI) =
$$\frac{\text{Weight (kg)}}{\text{Height}^2(m)}$$
 (1)

Overweight is defined as a BMI equal to or greater than 25. Overall, 11% of the respondents were overweight based on the BMI. When separated by age groups, only 2.1% of the sample group under-31 and 8.8% of the over 31 age group were overweight. Navy personnel who were overweight numbered 22.9% (under 20 years old) and 19.5% (over 20 years old). The objective for the American population (per Healthy People 2000) is 15% (8).

Results of this study showed increased health risks in SOF with respect to cancer/tumor, sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), muscular pain, broken bones, orthopedic surgery, and esophagitis. Skin cancer was the most prominent type of cancer seen in the respondents. Whether this is due to the mission environment or perhaps an active, outdoor lifestyle of the individuals is unknown at this time. Cancer, however, is the second leading cause of death in the United States (7). Statistics show that in 1995 1.2 million Americans were diagnosed with cancer and approximately 547,000 persons died of cancer (1).

Table 3. Health Status Percentages for SOF, United States (US), Alabama Power (AP), and U.S. Navy (USN) populations.

	Percentage				
Health Status	SOF	US	AP	USN	
Body mass index/obesity	10.9	34.0		26.2	
Cancer/tumors	17.3	3.0		1.7	
Coronary artery disease	7.2	25.0		2.1	
Diabetes	4.6	5.9	1.9	0.4	
Esophagitis/chronic heartburn	14.7	14.8		3.8	
High blood pressure	6.4	22.0		8.0	
High cholesterol	16.4	18.0	54.0	14.3	
Pre-cancerous skin lesions	20.6				
Sexually transmitted diseases	10.2	26.0		19.2	
Sterility/infertility	4.7			1.5	
Bone fractures	31.5				
Musculoskeletal pain	62.9				
Orthopedic surgery	37.5				

SPECIAL WARFARE ISSUES

Areas of interest in this section were injury rates from special warfare environments and activities, counseling for psychiatric problems, and contraction of rare or hard to treat illnesses (Table 4). Some results have high percentages, which may be due to the respondents who were active duty SOF during Vietnam. Shipboard injuries ranged from cuts and bruises to bullet wounds, near-drownings, and dislocations.

Table 4. Special Warfare Issues—Percentage of Respondents Replying Positively.

	Percentage
Issue	SOF
Injured in a jump	31.1
Air gas embolism	2.0
Decompression sickness	8.2
Pulmonary overinflation syndrome	1.3
Suffered a near drowning	17.0
Diving-related seizure	2.9
Wounded by bullets, etc.	20.7
Been in actual combat	42.9
Psychiatric counseling	10.0
Hard-to-treat illnesses	13.0
Injured shipboard	30.2

CONCLUSIONS

Although there were strong positive findings in the SOF personnel (low smoking rates, physically active, low prevalence of CAD, diabetes, and obesity), the unhealthy behaviors are a concern. Also of interest is the high prevalence of various diseases and injuries (i.e., cancer, musculoskeletal disorders, STDs, esophagitis) in this population. The preliminary data did not provide adequate information to discern to what extent mission tasks and environment play in heightening the member's risk for developing a condition. Further research examining the possible causes (i.e., personality, self-selection for job, genetics, etc.) of these high health risks is warranted. Special attention needs to be given to musculoskeletal problems in upcoming research. There is an exorbitantly high rate of chronic pain, fractures, and orthopedic surgery in this group. Examination of training methods, with respect to performance and operational environments, may be of assistance in determining what is causing these high percentage rates.

REFERENCES

- 1. American Cancer Society (1994). Cancer Facts and Figures, 1994. American Cancer Society, Inc.: Atlanta, Georgia.
- 2. Bray, R.M. (1999). Highlights: 1998 Department of Defense Survey of Health Related Behaviors Among Military Personnel. Available FTP: http://www.tricare.osd.mil/analysis/surveys/98survey/survey.html.
- 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (1993). Smoking-Attributable Mortality and Years of Potential Life Lost—United States, 1990. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 42(33)*: 645 649.
- 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (1997). Smoking-Attributable Mortality and Years of Potential Life Lost—United States, 1984 (with editorial note, 1997). *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 46*(20): 441 451.
- 5. McArdle, W.D., Katch, F.I., and Katch, V.L. (1996). Exercise Physiology: Energy, Nutrition, and Human Performance (4th ed.). Williams & Wilkins: Baltimore, MD.
- 6. National Cancer Institute. *Tobacco Effects in the Mouth.* Bethesda, Maryland: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute and National Institute of Dental Research. NIH Publication No. 92-3330, 1992.
- 7. National Center for Health Statistics. *Health, United States 1998.* Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1998.
- 8. National Center for Health Statistics. *Healthy People 2000 Review 1998-99*. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1999.
- 9. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. Ninth Special Report to the U.S. Congress on Alcohol and Health from the Secretary of Health and Human Services. NIH Publication No. 97-4017. Rockville, Maryland. June 1997.
- 10. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Reducing the Health Consequences of Smoking: Twenty-five Years of Progress. A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 1989.
- 11. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Preventing Tobacco Use Among Young People. A Report of the Surgeon General.* Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 1994.

Intentionally blank.

APPENDIX

Health Risk Appraisal Survey

Intentionally blank.



Naval Special Warfare Command



_times per day

Naval Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory

Health Risk Appraisal

				IDE	NTI	IFIC	ATIC)N N	UM:	BER
The health risk appraisal is an educational tool, showing of death (for a person your age and sex). This health a doctor or nurse; however, it does provide some ideas people who already have HEART DISEASE, CANC of these problems, please ask your health care provide	risk appraisal for lowering ER, KIDNE	is not a substitute your risk of getting YY DISEASE, OR	for a checl g sick or in OTHER S	k-up or jured ir	physi the f	cal ex future	am tha . It is N	t you ge IOT de:	t froi signe	m a d for
DIRECTIONS: To get the most accurate results, answer as many qu	iestions as y	y ou can. If you do	not know	the ans	wer, l	eave i	t blank			
The following questions must be	e completed or i	the computer program	cannot proce.	ss your q	uestion	naire.				
1. SEX 2. AGE 3. F	HEIGHT	4. WEIGHT	5. CIGA	RETTE	SMC	KIN	\widehat{G}			
Please write your answers in the bo	exes prov	rided.	•	(Exa	ımp	les:	(2) 0	r <u>98</u>	.)	
1. SEX				1 □ M	ale		2 □ Fe	male		
2. AGE				Y	ears					
3. HEIGHT		(Without shoes) (No fractions)		F	eet		In	ches		
4. WEIGHT		(Without shoes) (No fractions)		P	ound	3				
5. Body frame size				1☐ Sr 2☐ M 3☐ La	ediun	1				
6. Have you ever been told that you have diabetes	s (or sugar di	abetes)?		1 Y	es		2 _ No)		
7. Are you now taking medicine for high blood pr	ressure?	•		1 Y	es		2 _ No)		
8. What is your blood pressure now?	-			/ Systolic	(High	Numbe	r)/Diast	olic (Low	Numl	oer)
9. If you do not know the numbers, check the bo	x that descri	bes your blood pre	ssure.	1 ☐ H 2 ☐ N 3 ☐ D	ormal		ow			
10. What is your TOTAL cholesterol level (based	on a blood	test)?		n	ng/dl					
11. What is your HDL cholesterol (based on a blo	ood test)?			r	ng/dl					
12. How many cigars do you usually smoke per d	ay?				igars _]	per da	ay			
13. How many pipes of tobacco do you usually sa	moke per day	y?		F	ipes p	per da	y			

14. How many times per day do you usually use smokeless tobacco? (Chewing tobacco,

snuff, pouches, etc.)

15. CIGARETTE SMOKING	1 Never smoked ■ Go to 18
How would you describe your cigarette smoking habits?	1 Never smoked SP Go to 18 2 Used to smoke SP Go to 17
	3 Still smoke SF Go to 16
16. STILL SMOKE	
How many cigarettes a day do you smoke?	cigarettes per day SP Go to 18
ss-GO TO QUESTION 18	
17. USED TO SMOKE	
a. How many years has it been since you smoked cigarettes fairly regularly?b. What was the average number of cigarettes per day that you smoked in the 2 years	years cigarettes per day
before you quit?	ogurettes per day
18. In the next 12 months, how many thousands of miles will you probably travel by each	
of the following? (NOTE: U.S. average = 10,000 miles)	
a. Car, truck, or van:	,000 miles
b. Motorcycle:	,000 miles
19. On a typical day, how do you USUALLY travel? (Check one only)	1 Walk
	2 Bicycle
	3☐ Motorcycle 4☐ Sub-compact or compact car
	5☐ Mid-size or full-size car
	6 Truck or van
	7 Bus, subway or train
	8 Mostly stay home
20. What percent of the time do you usually buckle your safety belt when driving or riding?	%
21. On the average, how close to the speed limit do you usually drive?	1 Within 5 mph of limit
	2 6-10 mph over limit
	3 11-15 mph over limit 4 More than 15 mph over limit
22. How many times in the last month did you drive or ride when the driver had perhaps	times last month
too much alcohol to drink?	
23. How many drinks of alcoholic beverage do you have in a typical week?	Bottles or cans of beerGlasses of wine
	Wine coolers
	Mixed drinks or shots of liquor
24. About how long has it been since you had a rectal or prostate exam?	1 Less than 1 year ago
27. 1100th now long has to been since you had a rectan or prostate channel	2☐ 1 year ago 3☐ 2 years ago
	4 3 or more years ago
	5 Never
25. How many times in the last year did you witness or become involved in a violent	1 4 or more times
fight or attack where there was a good chance of a serious injury to someone?	2 2 or 3 times
	3☐ 1 time or never 4☐ Not sure
26. Considering your age, how would you describe your overall physical health?	1 Excellent
	2☐ Good
	3☐ Fair
27. In an average week, how many times do you engage in physical activity (exercise or	4☐ Poor 1☐ Less than 1 time per week
work which lasts at least 20 minutes without stopping and which is hard enough to	2 1 or 2 times per week
make you breathe heavier and your heart beat faster)?	3 At least 3 times per week
28. If you ride a motorcycle or all-terrain vehicle (ATV), what percent of the time do you	1 75% - 100%
wear a helmet?	2 25% - 74% 3 Less than 25%
	4 Does not apply to me
29. Do you eat some food every day that is high in fiber, such as whole grain bread, cereal, fresh fruits or vegetables?	1 Yes 2 No

30. Do you eat foods every day that are high in cholesterol or fat, such as fatty meat, cheese, fried foods, or eggs?	1 Yes	2□ No	
31. In general, how satisfied are you with your life?	1 Mostly sa	tisfied	
of the general, now saconou are you wan your more	2 Partially s		1
	3 Not satisf		
32. Have you suffered a personal loss or misfortune in the past year that had a serious	1 Yes, 1 ser	ious loss or m	isfortune
impact on your life? (For example, a job loss, disability, separation, jail term, or the	2□ Yes, 2 or	more	
death of someone close to you.)	3 No		
33a. Race	1 Aleutian,	Alaskan nativ	e, Eskimo, or
	Americar	n Indian	
	2 Asian		
	3 Black		
	4 Pacific Is	lander	
	5 White		
	6☐ Other		
	7□ Don't kn		
33b. Are you of Hispanic origin such as Mexican-American, Puerto Rican, or Cuban?	1 Yes	2 No	
34. What is the highest grade you completed in school?	1☐ Grade sc	hool or less	
	2 Some hig		
	3☐ High sch		
	4☐ Some col		
	5 College g		
			ssional degree
CONTOURT WAS DANG TOOLIEG			, and the same of
SPECIAL WARFARE ISSUES	1□ Yes	□ No	
1. Have you ever had a gas embolism?	2☐ Yes	☐ No	
Have you ever had the bends? Have you ever had a pulmonary overinflation syndrome?	3☐ Yes	□ No	
4. Have you ever had a seizure related to diving?	4☐ Yes	☐ No	
5. Have you ever suffered a near drowning?	5☐ Yes	□ No	
6. How many SDV dives have you made in your life?	6		
7. How many parachute jumps have you made in your life?	7		
8. Have you ever been injured on a parachute jump? If yes, please describe the injury.	8☐ Yes	☐ No	
of thave you ever been injured on a paracidate jump. It yes, preuto describe the injury.	0 x 05	Treased 4 10	
9. Have you ever been wounded by bullets, mines, shrapnel, etc?	9 ☐ Yes	☐ No	
10. How many times have you been in actual combat?	10		Ì
11. Have you ever had any rare, hard to treat, or hard to diagnose illnesses (such as	11 Yes	☐ No	
malaria, coccidioidomycosis, asbestosis, or tropical sprue)? If yes, what?		 	
12. Have you ever undergone psychiatric counseling?	12 Yes	☐ No	
12. Have you ever undergone psychiatric counseling? 13. Have you ever had family counseling for stress management?	13 Yes	□ No	□ N/A
13. Have you ever had faithly counseling for stress management: 14. Have you ever been divorced?	14 Yes	□ No	□ N/A
15. Have you ever had muscular or skeletal pain in the shoulders, arms, hips, legs, or	15 Yes	□ No	**************************************
back lasting more than six weeks?			
16. Have you ever broken any bones?	16☐ Yes	☐ No	
17. Have you ever had orthopedic surgery? If yes, describe the injury or disorder that	17 Yes	☐ No	
warranted the surgery.			
		p	
18. Have you ever been injured aboard or had any injury associated with duty on Special	18 Yes	🔲 No	
Warfare boats or ships? If yes, describe the injury.			
10. Have you ever had compare enterediscoses including beautiful.	10 V		
19. Have you ever had coronary artery disease, including heart attack?	19 □ Yes 20 □ Yes	□ No □ No	
20. Have you ever had esophagitis, chronic heartburn, or stomach ulcers?			
21. Have you ever had any neurologic disorder like Alzheimer's or Lou Gehrig's disease?	21 Yes	☐ No	

PECIAL WARFARE ISSUES 22. Have you been treated more than once in your life for a sexually transmitted disease? 23. Have you ever been medically evaluated or treated for sterility? 24. Have you ever had a pterygium, a cataract, or macular degeneration of the eye? 25. Have you ever had any pre-cancerous skin lesions like actinic keratosis? 26. Have you ever had any form of cancer or any tumor requiring medical or surgical treatment? If so, what kind?	22 Yes 23 Yes 24 Yes 25 Yes 26 Yes	No No No No No No
27. Have you ever suffered from a sleep disorder? 28. In which BUD/S did you graduate?	27 Yes 28	□No

If you have questions or comments about this survey, please contact the Force Medical Officer, Naval Special Warfare Command.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

raperwork reduction rioject (0704-0100),			
AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE 14 Jan 2000	3. REPORT TY	PE AND DATES COVERED
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE A Health-Risk Appraisal of Na Exeuctive Summary 6. AUTHOR(S)		Personnel: 5. FUNDING NI NMRDC V 7602	umbers Work Unit 62233N MM33P30-01
K.R. Johnson and M.Q. Wan	g 		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAMI Naval Aerospace Medical Res 51 Hovey Road Pensacola, FL 32508-1046		REPORT NU	NG ORGANIZATION JMBER Special Report 00-01
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY Naval Medical Research and I National Naval Medical Cente Bldg. 1, Tower 12 Bethesda, MD 20889-5606	Development Command	10. SPONSORIN NUMBER	IG/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
Approved for public release;		12b. DISTRIBU	TION CODE
to injuries, disease, and death Health Risk Appraisal (HRA) question survey was develope examine special warfare issue 16.1 years) male active-duty, (SEAL/UDT). Evaluation of U.S. Department of Health an physical activity rates; low profound. These were considered tobacco use rates, heavy drink cancer/tumors, musculoskelet preliminary data in this study play in heightening the memb of these high health risks may	has not been examined for Spe was to assess individual health ad, implemented, and analyzed it is specific to the environment at reserve, and retired members of these results used national health ad Human Services. Results from evalences of coronary artery died positive health findings for the king, low safety belt use, and drail problems, sexually transmitted did not provide adequate information of the provide adequate information of the safety sink of developing the afor	cial Operations Forces (SOF) a risk levels related to the personner in order to assess general heard tasks of the SOF personner of the Sea, Air, Land/ Underwith promotion and disease preson the self-reported study shows ease (CAD), diabetes, and of the self-reported study shows group. Unhealthy behavior unk driving. There was also ed diseases, esophagitis, and mation to discern to what extended	Ith behaviors and status, and to el. Respondents were 971 (48 ± later Demolition Teams wention objectives set forth by the lowed low smoking rates and high besity (overweight) were also ors included high smokeless a high prevalence of pre-cancerous skin lesions. The lent mission tasks and environment ther research examining the causes
14. SUBJECT TERMS Heath Special Operations Military Behaviors Disease			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 17 16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF 1	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

UNCLASSIFIED NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 298-102

SAR

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED